STATE OF CONNECTICUT

STATE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT COMMISSION

LEGAL & PERSONNEL SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING

JULY 7, 2021 MEETING
HELD VIA ZOOM
CONVENED AT 8:43 a.m.

Subcommittee Members Present:
Chairman Peter Adomeit
Trustee Michael Carey
Trustee Robert Coffey
Trustee Michael O'Brien

Also Present:

Martha Carlson, Deputy Comptroller, Ex Officio Member Commission Counsel Michael Rose Commission Counsel Cindy Cieslak Commission Tax Counsel Bruce Barth Commission Tax Counsel Alisha Sullivan John Herrington, Retirement Services Division Director

TRANSCRIPTIONIST: Karin Empson

(Proceedings commenced at 8:43 a.m.)

MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Well, we've called the meeting to order, and Cindy, are you ready to announce the attendance, please?

MS. CIESLAK: Sure. Today we have - this is
Cindy Sieslak. Chairman Peter Adomeit called the
meeting to order, and he is present today. We also
have Trustee Michael Carey, Trustee Robert Coffey,
Trustee Michael O'Brien. We have Deputy Comptroller
and Ex Officio Member to the Retirement Commission,
Martha Carlson. We have Retirement Services Division
Director, John Herrington. Bruce Barth and Alisha
Sullivan, both Tax Counsel to the Retirement Commission
from Robinson & Cole. And we have Michael Rose and
Cindy Cieslak, General Counsel to the Retirement
Commission from Rose Kallor.

I believe that is everyone.

MR. ADOMEIT: Right. Now we are going to need an amendment to the agenda. And then after that, we're going to have a second motion to approve the agenda as amended. The agenda will have as a first item new business in open session dealing with the issue of uncashed checks. And then I need a motion to amend the agenda to include in executive session the

```
1
     MERS enrollment issue.
                And then Ronald Johnson updating the meeting
2
3
     requirements, MERS enrollment, which they should
     probably move up because I think Marty wants to talk to
4
5
     that one. Interpretation, Section 7-438; the Latham
6
     appeal; Tedesco, which is litigation; Crandle, which is
     litigation; Grzeszczyk, litigation. And all the
7
     executive session items other than those are written
8
     legal opinion.
9
10
                So I need someone to say they so move to
     amend the agenda. Mike?
11
                MR. O'BRIEN: So moved.
12
                MR. ADOMEIT:
13
                             Thank you.
14
                MR. COFFEY: Second.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Thank you, Bob. All in favor,
15
     say, aye.
16
                MR. O'BRIEN:
17
                             Aye.
18
                MR. ADOMEIT: Opposed, nay. The ayes have
19
          It's unanimous. We have two-thirds. Okay. Well,
     let's move into executive session - I'm sorry, in open
20
     session. And-
21
22
                MS. CIESLAK: Peter?
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yes. Cindy, go ahead.
23
24
                MS. CIESLAK: I apologize for the
25
     interruption. This is Cindy Cieslak. Can we have an
```

in public session.

1 approval of the agenda as amended? MR. ADOMEIT: Of course. And I had that in 2 3 my notes and I forgot to announce it. So I'll need a motion to approve the agenda as amended. 4 5 MR. O'BRIEN: So moved. 6 MR. COFFEY: Second. MR. ADOMEIT: All in favor, say aye. Raise 7 8 your hand. MR. O'BRIEN: 9 Aye. MR. ADOMEIT: It's unanimous. The ayes have 10 it. Thank you, Cindy. Okay. 11 MS. CARLSON: I have a question just to 12 Mike Carey, maybe you can help me. And that 13 process. is whether or not my discussion for the purposes of 14 this meeting should be in executive session. 15 MR. ADOMEIT: Well-16 MS. CARLSON: Mike Rose could help with that 17 18 too because he has a - I had a fleeting conversation 19 with him, and it looks like he's gone. But Mike, what do you think? 20 MR. ROSE: I think if the matter is addressed 21 2.2 in a general sense without affording names or talking about any legal approaches, just reporting what the 23 division's approach is, then I think it would be okay 24

```
1
                MS. CARLSON: Okay. Thank you.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Is this the uncashed checks
2
3
     issue?
                MS. CARLSON:
                             Yes.
4
5
                MR. ADOMEIT: Oh. Are you comfortable with
6
     that? Because I thought you wanted it in open session.
                MS. CARLSON: I'm comfortable with that.
7
8
     think it may need an executive session at some point,
     but someone can - maybe Cindy can, you know, guide me
9
     in that direction once we're through with this part of
10
     the discussion.
11
               MR. ADOMEIT:
                             All right.
12
               MS. CARLSON: Or the next meeting, whatever.
13
                             Just don't mention names and-
14
                MR. ADOMEIT:
               MS. CARLSON: No. I'm fine.
15
               MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. I'll call on you to
16
     report then, please.
17
18
                MS. CARLSON: You want me to - right now?
19
     You want me to do that right now?
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yeah (inaudible).
20
                MS. CARLSON: Okay. So I'm just going to try
21
22
     to give you a summary chronologically what is going on.
     But I want to preface it by saying we've had in-house
23
24
     counsel look at this. I had a fleeting conversation
25
     with Mike Rose about it. I don't know, Mike. It might
```

have lasted five or ten minutes. I've also spoken to the Attorney General about resolution of this matter and the Office of Protection and Disability. I don't know if that's the right commission name, but I talked to someone in Protective Services.

So the summary is, a couple of months - it might be three months ago - Kevin received a return-receipt certified letter from, we assumed, a member of the retirement, you know, a retiree, that was pretty - it went on for several pages. It talked a lot about, I need a job; I've got no money; you know, stuff like that. And we get some of those once in a while. We don't really feel the need to respond to them because they don't seem to come to the point.

Anyway, so we just put it - Kevin just put it aside. And then the member called in and wanted a meeting with Kevin. Under ordinary circumstances, I always screen that call or that meeting to just figure out what's going on. During my call with this member, I was - I startingly discovered that he retired on a disability from one agency, and on a, I would say, a physical disability in 2015 and has not cashed a single disability check.

That, as you can imagine, sent me into overdrive; how can someone not have cashed a single

retirement check and we don't know about it? We've since obviously made an adjustment to the way that is reported to us from the Treasurer's Office, but in a nutshell, once a year, we get the list of all checks that have not been cashed, but the checks are in numerical order. We don't get them in name order, and we had no ability to spin them, or no reason to spin them. Because generally if someone has a cashed check, it means that they - or haven't received it, they're calling us looking for the check.

So this is a once-in-a-century story on the retirement. I have not in my 26 years here heard anything like this. So I had a back-and-forth numerous times with the gentleman. I think - I don't know what the amount is now, but a couple of months ago, it was \$176,000, most of which is sitting in the fund, some of which has been transferred over to the unclaimed property division of the Treasurer's Office. And I think that - I think the dates are after 60 days, a bank doesn't have to cash it, and after three years, it goes to unclaimed property.

There was something to the tune of mid-forty-thousand dollars in unclaimed property, which all of this has increased in the past couple months I've been trying to work through this. I've had numerous

conversations. This member has insisted that he or she has not received any of these checks. I have had Angela Romano, our Director of Core-CT, go into the system, into the Core-CT system, to just make sure there wasn't some crazy flag that said, do not print the checks. We have documentation that they were printed and left the building.

We also have documentation that midway between 2015 and 2021, there was an address change.

One check came back undeliverable. Our division, the Division called that person on his or her phone and he or she said, oh, yeah, I moved; my new address is a post office box.

Fast-forward, you know, we did everything we could here to make sure that we weren't at fault, that those checks did go out. And we had - I scheduled a meeting for this person to come in and sign the appropriate forms so we could, you know, send the money.

I also had the Office of State Treasurer in my office, you know, as well as John Clark - or John Herrington, I'm sorry, and Mark Fassoni (phonetic) because there are a number of forms you have to fill out if you haven't received checks. You have to sign an affidavit that you haven't received the checks. We

need proof that they haven't been cashed, which we had.

Unclaimed property needs documentation that it's really

you. So there were a lot of forms to fill out.

So this person showed up for the meeting. I don't remember what it was; it might have been the end of March, maybe middle of April; I can't remember. I can put that chronology together if anybody's interested in it. And arrived at my door and asked to speak to me privately - so Mike, just keep an ear on what I'm saying here - asked to speak to me privately, and I took the person into Kevin's office where we could speak privately. And this person said to me, I know that, you know, you've indicated to me that - it's completely lucid now. I know you've indicated to me that it's \$176,000, but according to my calculations, and pulled out like spreadsheets, it's \$450,000.

And I said, well, how do you get there? And this person said, well, I was wrongfully terminated from my agency, and I had to retire, and if I add up all of that money, it comes to \$450,000. So I did a stop right there. I said, I have no authority or responsibility other than to try to get you what you earned as a retiree, and, you know, that's all we're here about today is just to sign these forms. And this person said to me, well, do you think if I sign the

forms you want me to sign it will have any impact on my ability to get the other money from the agency? And my reaction was, because by this time, I feel like this person is my cousin because I've talked to this person 400 times, I said, we have to stop right here. I am not a lawyer; I have no responsibility or authority; you need to - if you think you've got a claim, you need to go get a lawyer.

So I said, and if you're not comfortable signing these forms to get this money back, then don't sign the forms. So he decided not to sign the forms. So I took him back into my office to the audience that was there and said that this person had changed their mind and was not going to sign the forms.

So that being said, right after that, I sent an email to this person memorializing our conversation, you know, and he - oops, I'm sorry - and he sent me back a letter confirming that he received it, but that he and his wife had decided that they didn't want to sign the forms now, that they needed to like look into it.

And then subsequently, so I said, well, let me know. And then subsequently, I got an email a couple weeks later in which he indicated that he and his wife had decided not to sign the forms because they

felt that Kevin Lembo and Marti Carlson had such good relationships in the State with that agency that we could act on their behalf to resolve the problem. So, you know, based on my, you know, once-in-a-lifetime attack on my integrity by you-know-who, I went into overdrive, and I said to Kevin, I'm not doing this.

So I sent him an email and I said, this is the last conversation you and I are going to have about this until you contact me for an appointment to sign the forms, goodbye. And I called, you know, with Peter's permission, I just called Mike and said, you know, what do you think? Mike didn't really have an answer without, you know, obviously going deep into it.

MS. CIESLAK: Marty?

MS. CARLSON: Yeah.

MS. CIESLAK: I was just going to say, don't go into legal advice.

MS. CARLSON: Okay. Anyway, so that's the end of the story. I did consult, you know, with Office of Protection. I think this is okay to say. And there is no one on the planet that thinks there's anything we either need to do or should do. I can tell you that I reached out to this person's hometown to someone who I knew knew this person, and in a just a very high-level way said, you know, we're a little concerned; a couple

```
1
     of checks haven't been cashed. And this person said to
     me, oh, God, you're fine; we had dinner with them like
2
3
     last week.
                So there is more obviously - you know, more
4
5
     to this that is more of a legal matter, so I'll stop
6
     there. I just wanted to update you guys on what is
     happening or not happening, and our administrative
7
8
     approach is to just sit on it.
9
                MR. ADOMEIT:
                             Thank you, Marty.
                MS. CARLSON: Once-in-a-lifetime story.
10
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yeah, I've not heard that
11
     recently. That's unique.
12
                MS. CARLSON: Yeah. And there's, you know -
13
     I mean, there's no harm to the fund because it's all
14
     sitting - all the money is sitting in the fund earning
15
     interest. So I don't - you know, I struggled with, you
16
     know, what's our fiduciary responsibility here, and
17
     Kevin and I are like, you know, the person won't cash
18
19
     the checks.
                MR. ADOMEIT: Yep. Okay. Well, thank you
20
     for the report. It's very interesting. And I'm glad
21
22
     you came forward and alerted everyone as to what's
     happening.
23
                MS. CARLSON: Right. And if there - I'm
24
     assuming that if there is, at some point, Cindy or Mike
25
```

```
1
     deems it necessary to go into executive session on any
     legal matters surrounding it, that you would invite me.
2
3
                MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Thank you.
                We now need a motion to go into executive
4
     session on the issue of MERS enrollment.
5
6
                MR. O'BRIEN: So moved.
                MR. COFFEY: Second.
7
                MR. ADOMEIT: All in favor, raise your hand
8
9
     or say, aye.
10
                MR. COFFEY: Aye.
                MR. ADOMEIT: It's unanimous. We're now in
11
     executive session.
12
                MS. CIESLAK: Before we go into executive
13
     session, I just want to confirm that Mike O'Brien's
14
     motion included the invitation to Martha Carlson,
15
     Michael Rose, Bruce Barth, and Alicia Sullivan, and
16
     myself, Cindy Cieslak to attend the executive session
17
     discussion on this matter.
18
19
                MR. O'BRIEN: You read my mind.
                MS. CIESLAK:
                             Thank you. I will note for the
20
     record that John Herrington dropped off the call. To
21
22
     the extent that he comes back into the waiting room
     during our executive session discussion, Mr. O'Brien,
23
24
     would you like me to invite him in for the discussion
25
     as well?
```

```
MR. O'BRIEN:
1
                              Yes.
2
                MR. ADOMEIT: Okay. Okay. I guess the final
3
     issue on the agenda is closing the hearing and
4
     adjournment.
                MR. O'BRIEN: So moved.
5
                MS. CIESLAK: Mike O'Brien moved.
6
7
                MR. COFFEY: Bob seconds.
                MR. ADOMEIT: All in favor, raise your right
8
9
     hand or say, aye.
                MR. O'BRIEN: Aye.
10
11
                MR. COFFEY: Aye.
12
                MR. ADOMEIT: Once again, we have unanimity.
13
     Thank you all very much.
                (Adjourned at 9:03 a.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
2
3
4
5
                               I, Karin A. Empson, do hereby
6
7
      certify that the preceding pages are an accurate
      transcription of the Connecticut State Employees
8
     Retirement Commission, Legal & Personnel Subcommittee
9
     meeting held electronically via Zoom, conducted at 8:43
10
     a.m. on July 7, 2021.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
      karin d. Empson
19
20
     Karin A. Empson
21
      8/16/2021
22
23
      Date
24
25
```